

Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute Resolution

Same and

June 2024

Independent assurance report to Parliament 2023–24:17

This report is printed on Monza Recycled paper. Monza Recycled is certified Carbon Neutral by The Carbon Reduction Institute (CRI) in accordance with the global Greenhouse Gas Protocol and ISO 14040 framework. The Lifecycle Analysis for Monza Recycled is cradle to grave including Scopes 1, 2 and 3. It has FSC Mix Certification combined with 99% recycled content.

ISBN 978-1-921060-80-9



Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute Resolution

Independent assurance report to Parliament

Published by order, or under the authority, of the Parliament of Victoria June 2024



The Hon Shaun Leane MLC President Legislative Council Parliament House Melbourne The Hon Maree Edwards MP Speaker Legislative Assembly Parliament House Melbourne

Dear Presiding Officers

Under the provisions of the Audit Act 1994, I transmit my report *Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute Resolution*.

Yours faithfully

Andrew Greaves Auditor-General 19 June 2024

The Victorian Auditor-General's Office (VAGO) acknowledges the Traditional Custodians of the lands and waters throughout Victoria. We pay our respects to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities, their continuing culture, and to Elders past and present.

Contents

Audit snapshot	1
Our recommendations	2
What we found	3
Key finding 1: DBDRV's service is free and fair, but it is not meeting its timeliness benchmarks	3
Key finding 2: DBDRV's service meets accessibility requirements, except for not providing some key information to applicants	4
Key finding 3: Resolving domestic building disputes through DBDRV is more cost-effective for the state and consumers than through VCAT	4
1. Context	6
Why the government established DBDRV	6
How DBDRV works	7
DBDRV's funding	7
Building Reform: Paper Two – Expert Panel on Building Reform	8
2. Consumer experience with DBDRV	9
DBDRV aims to offer a 'free, fair and fast' service	9
DBDRV does not charge consumers for its services	9
DBDRV offers a procedurally fair service	9
DBDRV is not meeting its benchmark on the timely allocation of cases to officers	.11
DBDRV gives clear guidance to consumers but there are gaps in the information available for new applicants	.13
3. DBDRV's impact on domestic building disputes	.15
Demand for building dispute resolution has risen since DBDRV began	.15
Outcomes for consumers who go to DBDRV	.16
It is more cost-effective for DBDRV to resolve cases than VCAT	.17
DBDRV collects and reports timely data on key measures, and has recently included benchmarks in its reporting	
Appendices	. 19

Audit snapshot

What we examined

Whether Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria (DBDRV) resolves disputes between domestic building consumers and practitioners.

Agencies examined: Consumer Affairs Victoria, the Department of Transport and Planning, and the Victorian Building Authority.

Why this is important

Building a home is a significant financial decision for Victorians.

In 2022, the domestic building industry was worth \$28.7 billion to the Victorian economy, with 92,158 building permits issued.

A 2016 survey found one in 4 residential builds in Victoria involve a dispute between the homeowner and the builder.

In 2017, the government established DBDRV to resolve domestic building disputes through conciliation.

Ensuring that the domestic building dispute resolution system is fair and efficient has significant consequences for both builders and homeowners.

What we concluded

DBDRV resolves domestic building disputes fairly and at no cost to consumers.

However, in 2022–23 DBDRV took longer than its benchmark to allocate cases to its dispute resolution officers (officers). It also does not allocate high-priority cases faster than others.

DBDRV has made domestic building dispute resolution more cost-effective for both the government and consumers.

From April 2017 to October 2023, it resolved 7,484 disputes that would have cost more for both groups had they been dealt with by the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT).

and

What we recommended

We made 5 recommendations to DBDRV about:

- allocating cases
- giving applicants key information
- further ensuring its services are fair
- integrating targets in its reporting.
 - → Full recommendations

Key facts

DBDRV:



of disputes that reached conciliation were fully resolved

65 days

on average to allocate

cases to an officer

52%



12% of cases progressed to VCAT

54 days

to close cases

after allocation

In 2022–23, it took:



Source: VAGO.



Our recommendations

We made 5 recommendations to address 4 issues. Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria has accepted all in full.

Key issues and cor	resp	onding recommendations	Agency response
Issue: Domestic Bu dispute resolution		ng Dispute Resolution Victoria is not meeting its benchmark for alloca cers	ting cases to
Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria	1	Enhance the process for triaging applications to reduce the time it takes to allocate cases to a dispute resolution officer, especially cases assessed as high priority (see Section 2).	Accepted
Issue: Domestic Bu applicants	ıildir	ng Dispute Resolution Victoria does not provide some key information	n to new
Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria	2	Improve information available to new applicants on current wait times and case priority levels (see Section 2).	Accepted
Issue: Domestic Bu service	ıildir	ng Dispute Resolution Victoria could take further steps to ensure the f	airness of its
Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria	3	Improve guidance for dispute resolution officers on when to recommend a building assessment for a case, to ensure consistent use of this tool (see Section 2).	Accepted
victoria	4	To better understand consumer perceptions of fairness, consider sending customer feedback surveys before a consumer's case is closed (see Section 2).	Accepted
Issue: Domestic Bu	ildir	ng Dispute Resolution Victoria's reporting did not include benchmarks	s or targets
Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria	5	Align organisational benchmarks with internal reporting, to ensure all staff understand whether Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria is meeting its intended outcomes (see Section 3).	Accepted

What we found

This section summarises our key findings. Sections 2 and 3 detail our complete findings, including supporting evidence.

When reaching our conclusions, we consulted with Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria (DBDRV), other audited agencies and the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) and considered their views. The agencies' full responses are in Appendix A.

Why we did this
auditIn April 2017, the government established DBDRV to resolve domestic building disputes through
conciliation as efficiently and cheaply as possible.

Parties with a domestic building dispute must first apply for conciliation at DBDRV before they can take a case to VCAT. The VCAT process may involve a hearing before a VCAT member, involving evidence, witnesses and questioning.

In this audit, we investigated whether DBDRV is achieving its intended outcomes and resolving domestic disputes.

Our key findings Our findings fall into 3 key areas:

1	DBDRV's service is free and fair, but it is not meeting its timeliness benchmarks.
2	DBDRV's service meets accessibility requirements, except for not providing some key information to applicants.
3	Resolving domestic building disputes through DBDRV is more cost-effective for the state and consumers than through VCAT.

Key finding 1: DBDRV's service is free and fair, but it is not meeting its timeliness benchmarks

DBDRV's service DBDRV does not charge consumers for its services. is free and fair Furthermore, its guidelines, practices and review procedures align with best practice for fair dispute resolution. DBDRV does not In 2022–23, DBDRV took 65 days on average to allocate an application to a dispute resolution meet all its officer (officer), not meeting its benchmark of 44 days. timeliness This includes cases that DBDRV lists as a high priority. On average, these took 71 days to allocate, benchmarks similar to normal priority cases. After allocation, DBDRV took an average of 54 days to close all cases over the same period. This beats its benchmark of 65 days. However, cases that reached conciliation took 91 days to close after allocation. DBDRV's process for applicants is faster than VCAT's. This is expected, as VCAT's procedures are more formal than DBDRV's.

Up to November 2022, cases that reached conciliation at DBDRV and	Took a median of
moved to VCAT	207 days at DBDRV and 236 days at VCAT.
did not move to VCAT	164 days at DBDRV.

When DBDRV officers close a case, they give it a complexity rating based on factors including:

- the number of items in dispute
- the length of the dispute
- the tone of contact between the parties.

On average, based on this final rating, cases that later go on to VCAT are 11 per cent more complex than those that do not reach VCAT.

This complexity may partially explain why these cases take longer.

Key finding 2: DBDRV's service meets accessibility requirements, except for not providing some key information to applicants

New applicants do not know how long they will wait or how their case has been assessed DBDRV gives the public clear guidance on how to use its service. Its online application form is straightforward to use.

However, DBDRV does not give applicants an indicative timeline for when an officer will contact them after they have lodged their application.

DBDRV does not have a direct phone number for consumers. Instead, DBDRV's listed phone number connects to the Building Information Line (information line) run by Consumer Affairs Victoria. This information line offers consumers advice on where to go if they have a dispute, and which jurisdiction the matter is likely to fall under.

Once DBDRV allocates an officer to an application, it puts them in direct contact.

However, consumers whose applications have not yet been allocated cannot access information about their case's status online or over the phone. This information includes:

- when an officer is likely to contact them
- the priority level assigned to their case.

Key finding 3: Resolving domestic building disputes through DBDRV is more cost-effective for the state and consumers than through VCAT

Demand for building dispute	Demand for building dispute resolution services has risen since the government established DBDRV.
resolution has risen	Previously, the Building Advice and Conciliation Victoria (BACV) offered voluntary dispute resolution. On average it closed 2,018 disputes per financial year from 2009–10 to 2013–14.
	In contrast, DBDRV closed an average of 5,780 cases per financial year from 2017–18 to 2022–23.
	The number of VCAT cases related to domestic building disputes remained steady between 2010–11 and 2022–23.

DBDRV is cheaper per case	Across all domestic building dispute cases between 2017–18 and 2022–23	Spent an average of
than VCAT	DBDRV	\$1,813 per case.
	VCAT	\$3,404 per case.

VCAT spending more on average than DBDRV is to be expected because VCAT is a more formal process. Nonetheless, this shows that DBDRV is a more cost-effective way to resolve some disputes.

In total, 12 per cent of all DBDRV cases have moved on to VCAT. Based on our estimates, the total costs associated with both DBDRV's 2021–22 caseload and cases that moved to VCAT was \$12.4 million.

However, if DBDRV did not exist and all its cases went to VCAT, the total net cost to the government could potentially be \$18.9 million, which is \$6.5 million higher.

These estimates account for revenue from the fees applicants pay VCAT.

1. Context

In April 2017, the Victorian Government established DBDRV to resolve disputes between building owners and their builders before they reach a court or tribunal. There were 92,158 domestic building permits in 2022. A 2016 survey found that one in 4 builds involve a dispute between the owner and builder.

Why the government established DBDRV

DBDRV was established in 2017

- DBDRV provides a conciliation service to resolve domestic building disputes that:
- pertain to residential building work that is less than 10 years old
- involve a builder, architect, subcontractor, engineer or building practitioner.

In 2015, in their second reading of legislation to establish DBDRV, the Minister for Planning said that the new service should 'significantly reduce the costs [of dispute resolution] for both consumers and builders, as well as the stresses that come with formal legal proceedings'. DBDRV started operating in April 2017.

How DBDRV works

DBDRV'sOnce DBDRV receives an application, it assesses whether the case is in its jurisdiction and suitableprocessfor conciliation.

There are various reasons an officer may deem a case to be unsuitable. These include if:

- the referring party has not provided all necessary information, nor taken reasonable steps to resolve a dispute themselves
- the assessor believes there is no reasonable likelihood that conciliation will be successful for a reason other than that no other party is willing to engage in conciliation.

If DBDRV accepts a case, it then allocates it to an officer who will contact both parties to find out more about the matters in dispute.

The officer may ask a DBDRV building assessor to examine the disputed work to assess if it is defective or incomplete, and whether this is the builder's fault.

A trained conciliator then attempts to mediate a resolution with both parties either in person, by teleconference or by video link. This will either result in:

- a formal record of agreement containing:
 - the actions the parties agreed to
 - when they will do these actions
- a certificate of conciliation noting the parties could not resolve their dispute, which allows them to apply to VCAT
- a Dispute Resolution Order, issued by the Chief Dispute Resolution Officer, which binds one or both parties to perform actions to resolve the dispute.

Appendix D shows consumers' journeys through the dispute resolution process.

DBDRV's funding

The Domestic Builders Fund covers DBDRV's expenses

- The Domestic Builders Fund covers:
- DBDRV's expenses
 - VCAT's hearing and administrative costs for domestic building cases
 - legal support for some applicants to VCAT who are in financial stress.

The Domestic Builders Fund comprises:

- part of the levy the Victorian Building Authority collects for building permits and builders' registration fees
- VCAT filing fees for domestic building disputes.

In 2022–23, the Domestic Builders Fund had revenue of \$23.3 million. Consumer Affairs Victoria administers the fund.

Building Reform: Paper Two – Expert Panel on Building Reform

Expert panel recommended reforms to laws on dispute resolution In December 2019, the Victorian Government appointed an expert panel to review how it regulates the building sector. In November 2023, the expert panel released the second stage of its review, including proposed recommendations on reforms to building dispute resolution.

The report recommended reforms to the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (the Act) to:

- allow relevant agencies to streamline the way they share information
- remove potential process duplication between DBDRV and VCAT.
- It also recommended that:
- DBDRV use more building assessments and Dispute Resolution Orders
- the government develop a model to triage building dispute applications.

The government is yet to formally respond to the report.

2. Consumer experience with DBDRV

DBDRV says that it aims to offer a free, fair and fast service. DBDRV's service is free for consumers and procedurally fair. However, DBDRV is not meeting its benchmark for the time it takes to allocate a case to an officer.

DBDRV aims to offer a 'free, fair and fast' service

DBDRV's statedIn its public guidance, DBDRV says that it aims to 'make it easier for builders and building ownersintentionto access a tailored dispute resolution service, which is free, fair and fast'.

Similarly, DBDRV's objective under the Act is to resolve disputes 'as efficiently and as cheaply as possible having regard to the needs of fairness'.

DBDRV does not charge consumers for its services

DBDRV has not
charged for itsDBDRV's services are free to consumers, including its building assessments. DBDRV's website
notes that it may charge consumers a fee if they request an assessment, but DBDRV has never
done so.

In contrast, in 2022–23, consumers who applied to VCAT for a matter related to the Act spent an average of \$607 in fees. Applicants to VCAT may also incur legal expenses preparing their case.

Up to October 2023, 7,484 consumers had their dispute fully resolved by DBDRV. Solving their disputes without going to VCAT potentially saved these consumers around \$4.5 million.

DBDRV offers a procedurally fair service

DBDRV has promoted	All DBDRV officers are accredited mediators. The Chief Dispute Resolution Officer requires them to complete National Mediator Accreditation System training and maintain their accreditation.
procedural fairness	Accredited mediators must comply with the National Mediator Accreditation System's Practice Standards.
	These standards align with the Australian Treasury's 2015 <i>Key Practices for Industry-Based Customer Dispute Resolution</i> , which suggest best practice benchmarks for resolving disputes fairly.
DBDRV's practices align with procedural fairness, except for potentially inconsistent use of assessments	DBDRV maintains a database of guidelines for its officers that covers all parts of the conciliation process. These guidelines emphasise that both parties have opportunities to respond to any information the other party provides. DBDRV also has templates for key communications with parties, so its officers give consumers consistent information.

In 2021, DBDRV developed the Quality Assurance Review Resource to formalise internal checks of whether officers follow established guidelines. Practices in this resource align with the key practices for fairness contained in the Australian Treasury guidelines.

As part of the dispute resolution process, DBDRV sometimes arranges for a qualified building assessor to assess building work to determine whether it is defective and the builder's fault.

However, DBDRV does not have clear guidelines for when it should use a building assessor. Its guidelines say that officers should consider whether they feel an assessment is likely to help resolve a dispute.

Clearer guidance for this may help officers know when to request a building assessment and make the process more consistent.

Customer surveySince 2021, DBDRV has sent a consumer experience survey to each consumer when their casedata showsclosed. As of February 2024, it had issued almost 36,000 surveys, with 2,400 (6.7 per cent)satisfaction with
DBDRV'scustomers responding.

impartiality

Over 70 per cent of respondents agreed that DBDRV staff were impartial in attempting to resolve their case, as Figure 1 shows.

However, we note that this is an optional survey, and there may be bias in who chooses to respond.



Figure 1: Consumer perspectives on DBDRV's service

Note: The questions on the survey offer a response on a 7-point scale, with 1 as strongly disagree, and 7 as strongly agree. To make this clearer, we have grouped responses of 1, 2 and 3 as 'disagree', 4 as 'neutral' and 5, 6 and 7 as 'agree'. The response rate allows us to be 95 per cent confident of the results within a margin of 1.97 percentage points. This means that, for example, if 60 per cent of respondents agree to a question, then we can be 95 per cent confident the true result for the population is between 59 per cent and 61 per cent.

Percentages have been rounded.

Source: VAGO analysis of DBDRV Customer Voice survey data from 2021 to 2023.

The outcome of a case may influence a consumer's sentiment. The below table shows lower agreement for cases DBDRV did not resolve.

For cases DBDRV resolved	For cases DBDRV did not resolve	Believed the
84 per cent of consumers	73 per cent of consumers	conciliator was impartial.
87 per cent of consumers	73 per cent of consumers	building assessor was impartial.

DBDRV does not send its survey to consumers before their case closes. Therefore, DBDRV cannot be sure about consumer opinion on the impartiality of officers and building assessors before a case's outcome potentially impacts their assessment.

Based on these survey results, both builders and owners are satisfied DBDRV is fair. There is also no material difference in the outcomes for cases initiated by builders or by owners.

DBDRV is not meeting its benchmark on the timely allocation of cases to officers

DBDRV has benchmarks for its timeliness

and 54 days to

close them

In 2023–24, DBDRV had an internal benchmark of 44 days to assign a case to an officer after lodgement and 65 days for a case to close after officer assignment.

DBDRV says it arrived at this benchmark by averaging timeliness across the previous 3 financial years.

In 2023–24, DBDRV also developed an aspirational stretch target of 30 days to allocate a case to an officer, designed to drive continuous improvement.

Last year DBDRV As Figure 2 shows, in 2022–23 DBDRV took an average of 65 days to allocate an officer after lodgement. This does not meet its benchmark of 44 days. allocate cases

After allocation, cases took an average of 54 days to close in 2022–23. This is better than DBDRV's benchmark of 65 days.

However, this includes cases that:

- the applicants resolved before conciliation, or withdrew
- DBDRV found unsuitable for conciliation.

Cases that reached conciliation took an average of 91 days after allocation to close. This does not meet DBDRV's benchmark.



Figure 2: Average timeliness of cases from lodgement to closure

Source: VAGO analysis of DBDRV data.

In January 2024, In January 2024, DBDRV listed a backlog of 1,036 active cases waiting to be allocated to officers. DBDRV had a As of 22 April 2024, this backlog had reduced to 716 cases. backlog of over 1,000 cases In December 2023, the Department of Government Services approved a proposal from DBDRV to increase its number of officers by 13 per cent over the next 2 years, funded through the Domestic Builders Fund.

In February 2024, the Department of Government Services approved further measures to recruit and retain officers.

DBDRV claims that these measures will allow it to clear its backlog by mid-2024.

High-priority cases are not allocated faster than others In its first year, DBDRV set up a Fast Track team to triage applications within 48 hours of consumers lodging them.

This team gives each case a priority level based on the urgency of the issue. It can close cases that are not suitable for conciliation or are out of DBDRV's jurisdiction.

DBDRV will assign a case as high priority if it involves:

- an application by a builder, or a vulnerable consumer
- water entering the building
- lack of essential facilities, such as a kitchen or bathroom
- delayed building work leading to a financial impact
- a case within 12 months of its 10-year statute of limitations.

However, on average, DBDRV still does not allocate high-priority cases to an officer within its 44 day benchmark. High-priority cases take a similar amount of time as normal priority cases, as Figure 3 shows.

DBDRV sometimes speeds up cases during triage that could have an expedited resolution, to clear its backlog. It gives these cases to the Fast Track team. But on average these cases still do not meet DBDRV's benchmark.



Figure 3: Average timeliness by case priority level

DBDRV conciliations happen more quickly than VCAT hearings VCAT gives DBDRV data that allows it to link cases that have passed through both agencies. As Figure 4 shows, cases that reach conciliation at DBDRV but then require VCAT to resolve took a median of:

- 207 days at DBDRV
- 236 days at VCAT.

Source: VAGO analysis of DBDRV data.

This means that for the same cases, DBDRV was 12 per cent faster than VCAT using its full processes.

Cases that reach conciliation at DBDRV but do not progress to VCAT close faster. They have a median case duration of 164 days.



Figure 4: Median days at DBDRV and VCAT for cases that reached conciliation at DBDRV, 2017 to 2022

Median days at DBDRV for cases that reached conciliation and did not move to VCAT

Median days at DBDRV for cases that reached conciliation and then moved to VCAT

Median days at VCAT for cases that reached concilation at DBDRV

Note: We have used a median caluclation in Figure 4 as we feel it is the most accurate way to represent data that has significant variation. In Figures 2 and 3 we used a mean calculation to show whether DBDRV meets its benchmark, which is also a mean. Source: VAGO analysis of VCAT and DBDRV data.

Cases that move DBDRV officers assign each case a complexity rating at its closure, based on a point rating for several factors.

For example, a case with fewer than 5 items in dispute, a history of under 12 months and with civil communication between parties would receive one point for each factor.

But a case with more than 20 items in dispute, a history of over 3 years and hostile communication would receive 3 points for each factor.

According to these ratings DBDRV officers assign, cases that move to VCAT are 11 per cent more complex than those that do not.

DBDRV gives clear guidance to consumers but there are gaps in the information available for new applicants

DBDRV's public
guidance is
clear, but does
not give allThe homepage of DBDRV's website clearly links to key information about DBDRV's service. Its
online application form is easy to use and meets the Victorian Government's accessibility
guidelines.

However, DBDRV's public guidance does not give an indicative timeline for when an officer will contact an applicant after they lodge their application.

DBDRV's online application form only notes that there may be a delay before someone contacts the applicant.

DBDRV does not DBDRV does not have a direct phone number for consumers. Instead, the phone number listed on provide phone its website is for Consumer Affairs Victoria's information line.

information

access to its office

The information line advises consumers on where to go if they have a dispute and whose jurisdiction the matter is likely to fall under.

DBDRV told us that using the line avoids duplication in the dispute resolution system, which may confuse consumers.

Once DBDRV allocates an applicant to an officer, they have direct phone contact with that officer. But there is no direct phone contact before DBDRV allocates the case.

The information line staff also have no link with DBDRV's database. This means its staff cannot give specific information about an application to an unallocated consumer, as they are not DBDRV staff. This is despite DBDRV's website listing the information line as the phone line for DBDRV.

This means that a consumer who has lodged an application cannot easily access information about its status, including when an officer is likely to contact them.

Consumers also cannot access this information from the DBDRV website. Instead, they need to email DBDRV's general information address. In some cases, a caller to the information line may have their call escalated to a DBDRV manager if an information line manager considers it necessary.

In September 2023, DBDRV gave information line staff direct access to a dashboard that allows them to:

- search by case number
- see a case's priority level and how many days since it was lodged
- see the number of unassigned cases.

However, information line staff cannot see how this information affects when a DBDRV officer will contact the applicant.

3. DBDRV's impact on domestic building disputes

DBDRV has resolved 7,484 domestic building disputes from April 2017 to October 2023. It resolved them more cost-effectively than if they had gone to VCAT.

Until recently, DBDRV could not demonstrate from its reporting that it is meeting all its intended outcomes.

Demand for building dispute resolution has risen since DBDRV began

Rising dispute resolution applications

Before the government established DBDRV in 2017, BACV offered voluntary dispute resolution.

Between	Each financial year	Closed an average of
2009–10 and 2013–14	BACV	2,018 cases.
2017–18 and 2022–23	DBDRV	5,780 cases.

This suggests DBDRV may have stimulated previously unmet demand for a building dispute resolution service that parties otherwise may not have pursued.

The number of VCAT building dispute cases has not significantly dropped since DBDRV began, as Figure 5 shows. But domestic and residential building permits have increased, so the proportion of permits ending up at VCAT has fallen.

On average	There was one VCAT case for every
between 2012 and 2016	67 permits (assuming a 6-month lag between a permit being issued and a case).
since DBDRV began	78 permits.

Figure 5: Building dispute resolution cases



Note: We do not have data for BACV complaints across 2014–15, 2015–16 and 2016–17. DBDRV was established in 2016-17. Source: VCAT annual reports, DBDRV data and VAGO data for BACV.

Outcomes for consumers who go to DBDRV

52 per cent of consumers who reach From its establishment in 2017 until 2022–23, DBDRV closed 34,828 cases, an average of 5,780 for each full year of its operation.

conciliation fully	Of all cases until November 2022	Of these			
resolve their	40 per cent reached conciliation	52 per cent were fully resolved.			
dispute		7 per cent were partially resolved.			
		41 per cent were not resolved.			
	60 per cent did not reach conciliation	55 per cent were not suitable for conciliation.			
dispute dispute dispute dispute dispute dispute dispute 60 per cent did not reach conciliation 60 per cent did not reach conciliation 55 per cent 11 per cent 33 per cent 33 per cent withdrawn b Percentages in this table are rounded. Appendix D shows a flowchart of the steps a case takes progress to VCAT. 12 per cent of Twenty per cent of people who are eligible to take their	11 per cent were out of jurisdiction.				
		33 per cent were resolved before conciliation or were withdrawn by the lodging party.			
	Percentages in this table are rounded.				
	Appendix D shows a flowchart of the steps a case takes in DBDRV's process, including when it may progress to VCAT.				
	Twenty per cent of people who are eligib	le to take their case to VCAT do so.			
cases continue to VCAT	Of all completed DBDRV cases (including fully resolved or withdrawn cases) 12 per cent progress to VCAT.				
	As a free and informal service, DBDRV may have stimulated demand for a building dispute resolution service for consumers to seek to externally resolve their dispute.				
	However, some consumers whose DBDR VCAT directly if DBDRV was not available	V cases did not progress to VCAT may have applied to			

It is more cost-effective for DBDRV to resolve cases than VCAT

	DBDRV spends	Between 2017–18 and 2022–23	Spent an average of	
	less per case than VCAT	DBDRV	\$1,813 per case.	
		VCAT	\$3,404 per case related to domestic building disputes.	
		VCAT spending more on average than DBDRV is to be expected, because VCAT is a more formal process. Nonetheless, this shows that DBDRV is a more cost-effective service to resolve some disputes that also cost less for consumers.		
		Since DBDRV began, VCAT's average cost per case since has increased by 27 per cent compared to the 3 preceding years (2014–15 to 2016–17).		
		VCAT told us this may be because it no resolve.	w receives more complex cases that DBDRV could not	
	DBDRV may	We cannot be sure if all DBDRV applica	nts would have applied to VCAT if DBDRV did not exist.	
resolution m	have made building dispute resolution more	2021-22 caseload of 5 1/1 cases was \$127 million		
	cost-effective	If all these cases went to VCAT, the tota \$18.9 million, which is \$6.5 million more	I net cost to the government could potentially be e.	
		This calculation subtracts hearing fees r Builders Fund to help fund the building	eceived by VCAT, which VCAT pays into the Domestic dispute resolution system.	

DBDRV collects and reports timely data on key measures, and has recently included benchmarks in its reporting

DBDRV has performance	DBDRV internally tracks 12 performance measures. These cover the most relevant parts of its processes.
measures with benchmarks	DBDRV lists baseline performances for these measures. It calculates these as averages of the results over the last 3 years.
	In 2023–24, DBDRV developed aspirational stretch targets for these measures to set an expectation for future performance.
	There is one public performance measure for DBDRV in Budget Paper No. 3: Service Delivery. However, it is a simple output measure on services provided and does not provide insight into service quality.
DBDRV reports on key measure,	DBDRV reports on these key measures, but until recently these reports did not all contain benchmarks.
on key measure, but without	benchmarks.
on key measure, but without benchmarks	benchmarks. DBDRV has dashboards it updates daily to internally report these measures. It filters this data into:
on key measure, but without benchmarks	 benchmarks. DBDRV has dashboards it updates daily to internally report these measures. It filters this data into: monthly reports for its senior leadership team

benchmarks or stretch targets. So while DBDRV might be achieving its objectives, it could not use this reporting to fully demonstrate this to itself or the public. In early 2024, DBDRV drafted new performance reporting dashboards that list these targets alongside monthly performance metrics for key information. It has made these reports available to all staff.

As of March 2024, it has also added performance targets to its senior leadership team reports.

Appendices

Appendix A: Submissions and comments Appendix B: Abbreviations, acronyms and glossary Appendix C: Audit scope and method Appendix D: Building dispute flowchart

Appendix A: Submissions and comments

We consulted with the Department of Government Services (for DBDRV and Consumer Affairs Victoria), Department of Transport and Planning, Victorian Building Authority and VCAT, and we considered their views when reaching our audit conclusions. As required by the *Audit Act 1994*, we gave a draft copy of this report, or relevant extracts, to those agencies and asked for their submissions and comments.

Responsibility for the accuracy, fairness and balance of those comments rests solely with the agency head.

Responses received

Agency	Page
Department of Government Services	A-2
Victorian Building Authority	A–5

Response provided by the Secretary, Department of Government Services

	Department of Government Services	
		Level 5 1 Macarthur Street East Melbourne Victoria 3002 Telephone: (03) 9651 5111 dgs.vic.gov.au
		Our ref: 24050438
	Mr Andrew Greaves Auditor-General Victorian Auditor-General's Office Level 31, 35 Collins Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000	
	By email:	
	Dear Mr Greaves	
	Proposed Report on Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute	e Resolution
	Thank you for providing the proposed report on <i>Domestic Building Ov</i> <i>Resolution</i> and the opportunity to respond to the recommendations at and comments for inclusion in the final report.	versight Part 2: Dispute
	The Department of Government Services, through Domestic Building Victoria (DBDRV), is committed to the continual improvement of the s Victorians.	
	I am pleased that the report acknowledges that DBDRV is delivering a service for the resolution of domestic building disputes. However, we more to continually improve our services.	
	The department has reviewed the proposed report and accepts all the action plan to address the recommendations is attached.	e recommendations. An
	Should your office require any further information, they may contact S Chief Dispute Resolution Officer at	Sarah Bendall, DBDRV or second second .
	Yours sincerely	
	Jo de Morton Secretary	
	14 / 05 /2024	
	Encl. DGS response to proposed recommendations	
OFFICIAL	Your details will be dealt with in accordance with the Public Records Act 1973 and the Privacy and Data Protection Ac wish to gain access to your personal information held by this department please contact our Privacy Officer at the abc	

OFFICIAL

May 2024

Department of Government Services action plan to address recommendations from Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute Resolution

No.	VAGO recommendation	Acceptance	Comments and agreed actions	Target completion date
_	Enhance the process for triaging applications to reduce the time it takes to allocate cases to a dispute resolution officer, especially cases assessed as high priority	 X Yes No In part In principle 	Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria ¹ (DBDRV) is committed to reducing the time it takes to allocate cases. As of 5 May 2024, applicants are waiting 44 days for case allocation, which is four days off its benchmark, and 14 days off its stretch goal. DBDRV anticipates meeting its stretch goal by July 2024 but will continue to reduce wait times beyond 30 days to the extent possible. In addition to action already taken to reduce allocation times, DBDRV will undertake a review of its triage process to identify further areas for improvement.	December 2024
2	Improve information available to new applicants on current wait times and case priority levels.	 X Yes No In part In principle 	DBDRV recognises the importance of providing useful, practical information to applicants. DBDRV will identify improvements in information to applicants with relevant information on wait times and their case priority.	December 2024
ε	Improve guidance for dispute resolution officers on when to recommend a building assessment for a case, to ensure consistent use of this tool.	 ⋈ Yes □ No □ In part □ In principle 	DBDRV will review and make improvements to its guidance to dispute resolution officers regarding the use of building assessments.	December 2024
4	To better understand consumer perceptions of fairness, consider sending customer feedback surveys before a consumer's case is closed.	 Xes No In part In principle 	DBDRV acknowledges that customer feedback is critical to continuous improvement of its services. DBDRV will identify mechanisms to capture customer feedback at points during the dispute resolution process rather than just at case closure.	December 2024

¹ DBDRV is a business unit within the Department of Government Services.

OFFICIAL

recommendation Acceptance rganisational benchmarks with \boxtimes Yes il reporting, to ensure all \Box No derstand whether DBDRV is \Box In part	es to internal on and will ges to internal	Target completion date December 2024
		OFFICIAL Acceptance Comments and agreed actions Amarks with X Yes DBDRV has already implemented changes to internal reporting to address this recommendation and will continue to review and implement changes to internal reporting on its performance measures.

Response provided by the Secretary, Department of Government Services – continued

OFFICIAL



Goods Shed North 733 Bourke Street, Docklands Victoria, Australia 3008

> PO Box 536, Melbourne Victoria, Australia 3001

> > P: 1300 815 127 W: vba.vic.gov.au

DX 210 299 Melbourne

DOC/24/134240

10 May 2024

Mr Andrew Greaves Auditor-General Victorian Auditor-General's Office Level 31 / 35 Collins Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000

By email:

Dear Mr Greaves

RE: Proposed Performance Audit Report Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute Resolution

Thank you for your letter attaching the proposed report on the performance engagement 'Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute resolution' and for the opportunity to respond.

The Victorian Building Authority (VBA) notes the findings of the proposed report and acknowledges the insights that it provides to improve the overall regulatory system and delivery positive consumer outcomes.

Should your staff wish to discuss this response, please liaise with the VBA's contact officer for this engagement John Sullivan, Chief Governance Officer (email –

Yours sincerely

Anna Cronin Commissioner and CEO Victorian Building Authority

CC.

John Sullivan, Chief Governance Officer, VBA , Manager, Parliamentary Reports and Services, VAGO



Page 1 of 1

Appendix B: Abbreviations, acronyms and glossary

Abbreviations We use the following abbreviations in this report: Abbreviations the Act Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 information Building Information Line line officer dispute resolution officer Acronyms We use the following acronyms in this report: Acronym BACV Building Advice and Conciliation Victoria DBDRV Domestic Building Dispute Resolution Victoria VCAT Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal VAGO Victorian Auditor-General's Office Glossary This glossary includes an explanation of the types of engagements we perform: Term Reasonable We achieve reasonable assurance by obtaining and verifying direct evidence from a assurance variety of internal and external sources about an agency's performance. This enables us to express an opinion or draw a conclusion against an audit objective with a high level of assurance. We call these audit engagements. See our assurance services fact sheet for more information. Limited We obtain less assurance when we rely primarily on an agency's representations and assurance other evidence generated by that agency. However, we aim to have enough confidence in our conclusion for it to be meaningful. We call these types of engagements assurance reviews and typically express our opinions in negative terms. For example, that nothing

has come to our attention to indicate there is a problem. See our <u>assurance services fact sheet</u> for more information.

Appendix C: Audit scope and method

Scope of this audit

Who we	We examined the follow	/e examined the following agencies:		
examined	Agency	Their key responsibilities		
	DBDRV	Resolves domestic building disputes without the cost and time associated with courts and tribunals.		
	Consumer Affairs Victoria	Victoria's consumer marketplace regulator. For building disputes, it oversees administration of the Act and the Domestic Building Fund.		
		It also gives consumers information about their options for building disputes.		
	Victorian Building Authority	Victoria's building and plumbing regulator. It registers and licences building and plumbing practitioners, and enforces compliance with building legislation.		
	Department of Transport and Planning	Administers the statutory responsibilities of the Minister for Planning, and is responsible for providing a fair, safe and transparent building system.		
Our audit objective	Whether DBDRV is resolving disputes between domestic building consumers and practitioners.			
What we examined	 We examined whether DBDRV: offers free, fair and fast building dispute services is achieving its intended outcomes. 			

Conducting this audit

Assessing performance

To form a conclusion against our objective we used the used the following lines of inquiry and associated evaluation criteria:

Line of inquiry		Criteria		
1.	Are DBDRV's domestic building dispute services	1.1	DBDRV's dispute services are free to users and cost-effective to deliver.	
	tailored, free, fast and fair?	1.2	DBDRV's dispute services are readily accessible to users.	
		1.3	DBDRV resolves disputes in a timely manner.	
	1.4	DBDRV's dispute services are fair to all parties.		
2.	Is DBDRV achieving its intended outcomes?	2.1	DBDRV has a monitoring, evaluation and reporting program that enables it to establish and report on its intended outcomes.	
		2.2	DBDRV reports its performance using accurate data.	
		2.3	DBDRV shares data with relevant agencies, including Consumer Affairs Victoria, the Victorian Building Authority and other relevant stakeholders, to identify and address industry-wide domestic building dispute issues.	

Our methods As part of the audit we:

- examined DBDRV and Consumer Affairs Victoria documentation
- gained access to DBDRV's internal case database
- observed DBDRV conciliations and building assessments both in person and through teleconference
- interviewed staff from:
 - DBDRV
 - Consumer Affairs Victoria
 - Victorian Building Authority
 - VCAT
 - Department of Transport and Planning
 - Victorian Managed Insurance Authority
 - non-government stakeholders.

Compliance	We conducted our audit in accordance with the <i>Audit Act 1994</i> and ASAE 3500 <i>Performance Engagements</i> to obtain reasonable assurance to provide a basis for our conclusion.
	We complied with the independence and other relevant ethical requirements related to assurance engagements.
	We also provided a copy of the report to the Department of Premier and Cabinet and the Department of Treasury and Finance.
Cost and time	The full cost of the audit and preparation of this report was \$415,454.
	The duration of the audit was 9 months from initiation to tabling.

Appendix D: Building dispute flowchart

Figure D1: The building dispute process for consumers through DBDRV and VCAT.



Note: Data in this flowchart goes from DBDRV's establishment until October 2023. Source: VAGO, based on DBDRV and VCAT data.

Appendix D-1 | Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute Resolution | Victorian Auditor-General's Report

Auditor-General's reports tabled during 2023–24

Report title	Tabled
Cybersecurity: Cloud Computing Products (2023–24: 1)	August 2023
Responses to Performance Engagement Recommendations: Annual Status Update 2023 (2023–24: 2)	August 2023
Eloque: the Joint Venture Between DoT and Xerox (2023–24: 3)	October 2023
Domestic Building Oversight Part 1: Regulation (2023–24: 4)	November 2023
Employee Health and Wellbeing in Victorian Public Hospitals (2023–24: 5)	November 2023
Reducing the Illegal Disposal of Asbestos (2023–24: 6)	November 2023
Auditor-General's Report on the Annual Financial Report of the State of Victoria: 2022–23 (2023–24: 7)	November 2023
Contractors and Consultants in the Victorian Public Service: Spending (2023–24: 8)	November 2023
Major Projects Performance Reporting 2023 (2023–24: 9)	November 2023
Fair Presentation of Service Delivery Performance 2023 (2023–24: 10)	November 2023
Reducing the Harm Caused by Drugs on Victorian Roads (2023–24: 11)	December 2023
Results of 2022–23 Audits: Local Government (2023–24: 12)	March 2024
Withdrawal from 2026 Commonwealth Games (2023–24: 13)	March 2024
Follow-up of Management of the Student Resource Package (2023–24: 14)	May 2024
Literacy and Numeracy Achievement Outcomes for Victorian Students (2023–24: 15)	May 2024
Guardianship and Decision-making for Vulnerable Adults (2023–24: 16)	May 2024
Domestic Building Oversight Part 2: Dispute Resolution (2023–24: 17)	June 2024

All reports are available for download in PDF and HTML format on our website at https://www.audit.vic.gov.au

Our role and contact details

The Auditor- General's role	For information about the Auditor-General's role and VAGO's work, please see our online fact sheet <u>About VAGO</u> .		
Our assurance services	Our online fact sheet <u>Our assurance services</u> details the nature and levels of assurance that we provide to Parliament and public sector agencies through our work program.		
Contact details	Victorian Auditor-General's Office Level 31, 35 Collins Street Melbourne Vic 3000 AUSTRALIA		
	Phone +61 3 8601 7000 Email <u>enquiries@audit.vic.gov.au</u>		